To the Environment Committee,
Here I submit brief comments on the Governor Lamont's climate bill, HB 6441.
These comments are aligned with the GC3 working group reports, interdisciplinary science, and common sense.
1. Regarding eligible expenditures for section 3D, I suggest explicitly adding ecological inventories. We need more data, and more long-term data.
 Regarding the definition of ecosystem services, I suggest including public health as an ecosystem service.
3. Regarding section 19, 4 - it should read climate mitigation, adaptation and resilience. Mitigation is critical.
Here is the link to the Science and Technology Working Group report (GC3) which emphasizes the importance of mitigation and unbiased interdisciplinary science.
It also discusses public health and ecosystem services, as well as inevitable changes in temperature and precipitation that HB 6441 intends to address.
https://portal.ct.gov/-/media/DEEP/climatechange/GC3/GC3-working-group-reports/GC3-Science-and-Technology-Working-Group-Final-Report-11-19-20.pdf

Zsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzIiLCJBTiI6Ik1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C3000&sdata=A5W7nm6ZOIt%2FMGNItCJTmbkoGMhXo7kDbpyykaaf4ts%3D&reserved=0>
Here is a link to my testimony to a House Legislative Committee in Vermont, primarily on natural solutions and multisolving (unbiased interdisciplinary decision-making to achieve optimal solutions with multiple short- and long-term benefits; one benefit is always climate): https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IN0fsNGsnI0

Susan Masino, PhD
Note: I am experiencing extremely heavy email volume. Please don't hesitate to resend your reply.
Susan A. Masino, Ph.D.
Vernon Roosa Professor of Applied Science
Trinity College
Co-chair, Science and Technology Working Group
Governor's Council on Climate Change (GC3 in CT)